

REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Title of Publication: Latin Extreme 2

Other Known Title: Not Stated

Format: DVD

Director/Author: M. Max

Producer/Publisher: Not Stated

Country of Origin: USA

Language: Portuguese

Applicant: The Film and Video Labelling Body Inc.

Distributor: Vixen Direct Ltd

Classification:	Objectionable.
------------------------	----------------

Descriptive Note: Not Applicable.

Display Conditions: Not Applicable

Date of entry in Register: 31 August 2006

Date of direction to issue a label: Not Applicable

OFLC No: 601737

Unexcised version of publication:

	Component	Running time
Menu:	Play Movie Scene Selection Special Features	
Feature:	Latin Extreme 2	110.08

Other timed components:	FBI Warning / Custodian Of Records /	
	Feature Presentation / Platinum Blue	
	Notices	0.45
	Phone Sex Adverts	2.00
	Website Notices	0.29
	Blue Erotica	1.14
	Moist	1.43
	My Sexy Sleepover	1.11
	Phuck Fantasies	1.00
	Sexual Chemistry	1.47
	Cumshots	4.04
	Sex Talk Adverts	3.34
	DVD Credits	0.59
Other components:	Bonus Scene 1	16.15
	Bonus Scene 2	23.08
Other components:	Gallery	
Total running time:		168.17

Excisions: Not Applicable

Reasons for excisions:

Not Applicable

**Summary of reasons
for classification:**

The DVD entitled *Latin Extreme 2* is classified as:
Objectionable.

The DVD is an adult sex publication intended for the arousal of heterosexual men. It contains a feature, several trailers for similar DVDs, two bonus scenes, and a number of still photos taken from the feature. The feature has a high extent of conduct which strongly degrades, dehumanises and demeans women and which represents them as being inferior to men. It encourages viewers to be aroused by men having sex with women while treating them with hostility, aggression and contempt. The DVD is likely to encourage viewers to develop aggressive and misogynistic attitudes to women, sex and intimate relationships.

The availability of the publication is likely to be injurious to the public good. Consideration has been given as to whether the publication would receive a classification other than objectionable if excisions were made. However, given the pervasiveness of the problematic material on this DVD, excisions are considered impractical in this case.

The classification of this publication interferes with the freedom of expression as set out in section 14 of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990. This decision is prescribed by law, however, and represents the minimum interference with the freedom of expression consistent with preventing likely injury to the public good. The decision is, therefore, demonstrably justified in any free and democratic society.